Neural Unsupervised Domain Adaptation: A Survey Work Model-c (Ziser a Alan Ramponi^{1,2} and Barbara Plank³ (Miller, (Glorot (Chen e

- ¹ Department of Inf. Eng. and Computer Science, University of Trento, Italy
- ² Fondazione The Microsoft Research University of Trento COSBI, Italy
- ³ Department of Computer Science, ITU Copenhagen, Denmark

Problem

Learning under domain shift is a main challenge in NLP. We here review neural unsupervised domain adaptation (UDA) techniques which do not require labeled target domain data, a challenging, widely applicable setup in real-world scenarios.

From domain to the variety space

NLP is pervasively facing heterogeneity in data along many underlying (often unknown) dimensions, narrowly referred as *domains*. We suggest to use the more general term *variety*, rather than domain, which pinpoints better to the underlying linguistic differences and their implications rather than the technical assumptions.

Taxonomy

UDA is a special case of transductive transfer learning. We categorize methods into model-, data-centric and hybrid. Related problems are also discussed in the paper. LL



An overview of neural UDA in NLP (methods and tasks) is provided on the right.

SFA, PBLM), autoencoders E.g., adversarial losses (e.g., DANN, DSN), reweighting

E.g., pivot-based (e.g., SCL,

E.g., self-labeling, adaptive ensembling, co/tri-training

E.g., Jensen-Shannon, perplexity, dynamic selection

E.g., AdaptaBERT, DAPT, TAPT, STILT









(Yang a (Clincha (Ganin (Li et al (Kim et (Sato et (Wu et a (Yasuna (Shen e (Li et al (Alam e (Wang e (Shah et (Fu et al (Rios et (Xu et a (Shi et a (Rocha (Ghosal (Naik an Data-ce (Ruder (Lim et (Rotma (Han an (Li et al (Gurura Hybrid: (Saito et (Desai e (Jia et a (Cui and (Peng a (Guo et (Ben-D

Methods: SCL = structural correspondence learning; AE = autoencoder; SDA = stacked denoising AE; MSDA = marginalized SDA; DANN = domain-adversarial neural network; DSN = domain separation network; GSN = genre separation network; SSL = semi-supervised learning; LM = language modeling. Tasks: SA = sentiment analysis; LI = language identification; TC = binary text classification (incl. machine reading, stance detection, intent classification, political data identification, etc.); NLI = natural language inference; POS = part-of-speech (incl. Chinese word segmentation); DEP = dependency parsing; NER = named entity recognition (incl. slot tagging, event

Challenges and Directions









		classif./inference				struct. prediction			
	Method	SA	LI	TC	NLI	POS	DEP	NER	RE
<i>centric:</i> nd Reichart 2017; 2018a; 2018b; 2019) 2019) et al., 2011) t al., 2012) nd Eisenstein, 2014) ant et al., 2016) et al., 2016) ., 2017)	Neural SCL Neural SCL (Joint AE-SCL) SDA MSDA MSDA MSDA DANN DANN+SCL MemNet DANN/DSN	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>		1		•			
al., 2017) al., 2017) al., 2017) ga et al., 2018) t al., 2018a) t al., 2018a) et al., 2018a) et al., 2018a) t al., 2018) t al., 2018)	DANN DANN DANN DANN DANN DANN DANN DANN	 	~	•		•	1		-
al., 2018) 1., 2019) al., 2018) and Lopes Cardoso, 2019)* et al., 2020) nd Rose, 2020)	DANN DANN DSN (GSN) DANN, Shared encoders DANN (concept embeddings) DANN (context embeddings)	~ ~		•	~			1	~
ntric: and Plank, 2018) al., 2020) n and Reichart, 2019) d Eisenstein, 2019) ., 2019) ngan et al., 2020)	SSL, Multitask tri-training SSL Deep self-training AdaptaBERT Adaptive pre-training Adaptive pre-training (incl. multi-phase)	 		1	~	✓ ✓ ✓	 	1	~
t al., 2017) et al., 2019) d., 2019) d Bollegala, 2019) nd Dredze, 2017) al., 2020) avid et al., 2020)	Asymmetric tri-training Adaptive (temporal) ensembling Cross-domain LM SelfAdapt (pivots+co-training) Multi-task-DA DistanceNet-Bandit PERL (pivots+context embeddings)	 <		1		~		/	

- Comprehensive UDA benchmarks (e.g., multiple tasks of increasing complexity); - Back to the roots (e.g., revisiting classics, cf. SCL) and how knowledge transfers; - Tackle the X scarcity (when unlabeled data is scarce or model training data is absent).

IT UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN